

CARBON FOOTPRINT DETERMINATION WHEN USING RESIDUAL AGRICULTURAL BIOMASS FOR ENERGY PRODUCTION

Erol MURAD

EKKO OFFICE AG SRL, erolmurad@yahoo.com

Abstract: *At present, the focus is on distributed energy generation with low or negative carbon emissions as well as high conversion yields. The renewable energy resource that can be used and produced when and wherever necessary is residual agricultural biomass with a potential of 31 million tons, which can produce over 40% of the national energy demand. Residual agricultural biomass is produced with an average energy efficiency of 6 kWh.bm/kWh.input. The CHAB concept produces high yield thermal energy as well as biochar with an average carbon footprint of 140 kg / ton. biomass. If the energy produced is used to produce agricultural output, the negative carbon footprint increases by reducing the consumption of fossil fuels. It increases energy independence, the safety of agricultural production, the number of jobs, and regional economic development.*

Keywords: *Waste biomass, energy, biochar, CHAB, carbon footprint*

1. Introduction

Agriculture has been and remains the main source of raw materials for food and industrialization. The concept of sustainable development and evidence of climate change tends to tackle the issue of adapting efficient and sustainable agricultural production technologies to humanity in order to provide food for an ever-growing population fed by a declining global agricultural area.

Sustainable development of agriculture also involves increasing the energy independence of agricultural farms by reducing fossil fuel consumption, increasing and maintaining productive soil capacity, and reduced use of mineral fertilizers in favor of compost, which is linked to current ecological requirements leads to the need to increase the level of use of residual biomass resulting from agricultural crops. [1,2]

At present, direct biomass burning, chopped, briquetted or pelletized is the majority procedure. As an alternative to current methods of thermal energy production from biomass, it is proposed the **CHAB** concept (**C**ombined **H**eat **A**nd **B**iochar production) which also includes the biochar (**BC**) generation. **BC** is a sterile organic material obtained from biomass pyrolysis in an oxygen-free environment or with a controlled gasification, with a neutral or alkaline pH. It has a carbon content of 75-90% and is characterized by high porosity and adsorption capacity. [2, 3, 4]

BC is used to improve the long-term fertility of agricultural soils, and secondarily as a filtering agent for air, gas and water. Built in soil, it is the most economical and ecological way of sequestering at least 25% of carbon, for extended periods between 100 and 1000 years [18]; it also has many other applications in the most diverse fields of human activity. [3, 5, 6]

In order to evaluate how the waste biomass can be efficiently exploited, an energy balance and carbon mass analysis will be carried out, from which the carbon footprint can be calculated to determine the useful energy produced, and to create a base analysis and optimization of variants and energy conversion regimes.

In nature, spontaneous vegetation uses solar energy, carbon dioxide (CO₂) and soil fertility to produce a vegetal mass containing carbon **Cb**, which, through the natural carbon circuit, returns to the atmosphere.

Vegetable crop production has as its main product a biomass destined for human and zootechnical consumption, which we call food biomass, as well as a by-product called waste biomass. (figure 1). Solar energy, carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the atmosphere, soil fertility, as well as **Econs** energy consumed to carry out agricultural works contribute to the achievement of vegetal agricultural

production. This produces an energy accumulation in agricultural products - **Ebal** in the main product and **Ebr** in the waste biomass. [7]




Fig. 1. Agricultural crop production general model

The efficiency of agricultural production is determined by the ratio of energy at exit **Eb** and that of input **Econs**. Therefore energy efficiency is calculated with the relation (1)

$$EFEN = \frac{Eb}{Econs} = \frac{Ebal + Ebr}{Econs} \quad (1)$$

Table 1 presents the energies produced and consumed for the main agricultural crops as well as the total energy efficiency **EFEN**, the main **EFENp** and the secondary **EFENs**. [7]

It is noted that most of the agricultural production has an **EFEN** > 1 overall efficiency and many crops also have an **EFENs** secondary efficiency of more than 3, which confirms the conclusion that the agricultural crop production is also producing renewable energy with low costs and with a reduced carbon footprint (CFPf). [7]

Biomass produced has an **Ettotal** energy for which **Econs** was consumed from fossil fuels. It is assumed that the fuel used is diesel fuel having a $CFPf = 0.0815 \text{ kg / kWh}$ footprint for base energy. The overall diesel fuel efficiency is estimated at $\eta_f = 0.864$, which results in a positive footprint in the **CFPatm** atmosphere (kg.C / kWh) for residual biomass:

$$CFPatm = \frac{1}{EFEN} \frac{CFPf}{\eta_f} = 0.0944 \quad (2)$$

Since for the production of **Econs** fossil fuels are consumed in the atmosphere, a quantity of **Cf0** = $Econs \cdot CFPf$ is released.

Table 1 presents the energy efficiency values for the main crops in Romania. It is noticed that the majority of agricultural crops produce residual biomass with an **EFENs** >> 0, so they are economically and ecologically productive renewable energy resources, mainly the corn and wheat crops that offer biomass for pelleting. These aspects regarding the fruit cuttings and of the vines were presented in the works [2, 8]. Further analysis will be developed for corn crop, which has the highest energy efficiency, for pellets produced from corn stalks.

For the energy and carbon balance, Table 2 shows the carbon footprints and the utilization yields for the main fuels entering the energy production processes of the waste biomass: diesel fuel, corn stalk biomass, corn stalk pellets, biochar and syngas [3, 4, 9, 10, 11]

Table 1: Energetic efficiency of agricultural crops

Crops	Energy produced			Energy consumed	Energetic efficiency		
	Etotal	Ebal	Ebr	Econs	EFEN	EFENp	EFENs
	kWh/ha	kWh/ha	kWh/ha	kWh/ha			
Corn	91029	41054	49975	5163	17.63	7.95	9.68
Winter wheat	41017	16773	24244	5764	7.12	2.91	4.21
Beans	21227	10585	10642	3254	6.52	3.25	3.27
Sunflowers	19807	4970	14837	4982	3.98	1.00	2.98
Soy	29517	18550	10967	4643	6.36	3.99	2.36
Plum	23981	12775	11206	12833	1.87	1.00	0.87
Vineyard	17547	8381	9167	16028	1.09	0.52	0.57
Apple	22372	14467	7906	17383	1.29	0.83	0.45

Table 2: Fuels carbon foot print

Feature	Symbol	UM	Value	Notes
Diesel fuel foot print	CFPdf	kg.C/kWh	0.0815	
Corn stalk foot print	CFPbr	kg.C/kWh	0.0873	corn stalk
Corn stalk pellets foot print	CFPcp	kg.C/kWh	0.0873	corn stalk pellets
Carbon foot print for peleting	CFPcpr	kg.C/kWh	0.0082	
Syngas foot print [CFPsg]		kg.C/kWh	0.1059	from gasifier
Conversion yield for diesel burning	Kconv.f	adim	0.864	
Conversion yield for BM burning	Kconv.bm	adim	0.786	
Conversion yield for syngas burning	Kconv.g	adim	0.746	
Global BM footprint	CFPbmu	kg.C/kWhu	0.112	
Global diesel footprint	CFPfu	kg.C/kWhu	0.095	
Global syngas footprint	CFPgu	kg.C/kWhu	0.142	

From the calculation of the carbon footprint produced using the primary energy of the residual corn mass, taking into account the part of the carbon footprint due to the energy input at the system entry, it results that for 1 MWh of the residual corn stalk consumed 56.7 kWh at input with a carbon footprint of max. 4.623 kg.C \ MWh.

2. Energy conversion system with burning process

To perform the analysis, a model (figure 2) of a corn stalk pellet energy production system was designed using burning processes. The conversion of the energy biomass into useful energy is achieved with a BIOMASS ENERGY SYSTEMS block, which enters an **Ecs.inp** energy consisting of **Ebre** as a **Kbe** part of the pellet biomass that has been pelletized and a carbon content **Cbre**. To perform the conversion process, an **Ecs.act** power is also introduced with a **CFPcons** footprint.

$$Ecs.c = Kbc(Ecs.out - Ecs.ev) = Kbc \cdot \eta_{sc} \cdot Ecs.inp = Kbc \cdot \eta_{sc} \cdot (1 + Kact)Ebre = Kbc \cdot Kconv \cdot (Kbe \cdot Ebr) \quad (9)$$

where

$$Kconv = \eta_{sc} \cdot (1 + Kact) \quad (10)$$

The carbon balance shows that since the carbon footprint for **Ecs.c** + **Ecs.u** is incorporated into the exhaust outlet, it follows that:

$$\Delta Cbes = Cbre - Csc.ev = 0 \quad (11)$$

It is noticed that **Cbre** re-enters the atmosphere through the combustion gases exhausted at the exchanger outlet. It follows that the carbon footprint for **Ecs.u** and **Ecs.c** is zero

Another important block is the ENERGY CONSUMPTION subsystem. In block is the **Ecf** energy produced from fossil fuels with **Cf** carbon content and **Ecs.c** energy from the energy produced by the system with a zero footprint. The exit is **Econs** = cnt. used directly for agricultural crop production and **Ecs.act** for the energy conversion system. The energy balance is:

$$\Delta Eec = (Ecf + Ecs.c) - (Econs + Ecs.act) = 0 \quad (12)$$

Ecf energy from fossil fuels produces a positive carbon footprint.

$$Ecf = (Econs + Ecs.act) - Ecs.c \quad \text{where} \quad Econs = \frac{Etotal}{EFEN} \cong \frac{Ebr}{EFENs} \quad (13)$$

The carbon balance is:

$$\Delta Cec = Cf - Ccons = Ecf \cdot CFPf - Ccons = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Ccons = Cf \quad (14)$$

For ATMOSPHERE carbon balance is:

$$\Delta Catm = (Ccons + Cb) - Cb = Ccons \quad (15)$$

Carbon footprint in atmosphere is:

$$CFPatm = \frac{Ccons}{Econs + Ecs.c} = \frac{Cf}{Ebr / EFENs + Ecs.c} = Ecf \frac{CFPf}{Ebr / EFENs + Ecs.c} \quad (16)$$

If we want to get a zero fingerprint, **CFPatm** = 0, then **Ecf** = 0 and require an **Ecs.c** energy in the system with the value:

$$Ecs.c = Econs + Ecs.act = Ebr / EFENs + Kact \cdot (Kbe \cdot Ebr) = Ebr(1 / EFENs + Kact \cdot Kbe) \quad (17)$$

If an **Ecs.u** useful power is required for applications, it is necessary to determine which **Kbe** quota of residual biomass to be harvested should be used.

$$Ecs.u = (1 - Kbc) \cdot Kconv \cdot (Kbe \cdot Ebr) \quad \text{from where} \quad Kbc = 1 - \frac{Esc.u}{Kconv \cdot (Kbe \cdot Ebr)} \quad (18)$$

$$Ecs.c = (1 - \frac{Esc.u}{Kconv \cdot (Kbe \cdot Ebr)}) \cdot Kconv \cdot (Kbe \cdot Ebr) = Kconv \cdot (Kbe \cdot Ebr) - Esc.u \quad (19)$$

And using the relation (9) results:

$$Ecs.c = Kconv \cdot (Kbe \cdot Ebr) - Esc.u = Ebr(1 / EFENs + Kact \cdot Kbe) \quad (20)$$

It is shared with **Ebr** and it follows:

$$Kconv \cdot Kbe - Esc.u / Ebr = 1 / EFENs + Kact \cdot Kbe \quad (21)$$

In order to ensure the **Ecs.u** value required for the **Kbe** harvested waste biomass, it must be greater than:

$$Kbe \geq \frac{1}{Kconv - Kact} \left(Kbu + \frac{1}{EFENS} \right) \quad \text{where} \quad Kbu = \frac{Esc.u}{Ebr} \quad (22)$$

Table 3 shows the values of the **Kbe** coefficient according to the **Kbu** share of the energy demand.

Table 3: Use of residual biomass for zero carbon footprint

Kbu	0.100	0.200	0.300	0.400	0.512
Kbe ≥	0.330	0.493	0.655	0.818	1.000

3. Energy conversion system with CHAB concept

As previously described, the application of the **CHAB** concept leads to the production of energy and biochar. Figure 3 presents a model for a system that produces bio-fuel and energy from pyrolysis or gasification processes from residual biomass. [2, 4, 9, 10, 12]

Tables 4 and 5 show the energies and carbon content of the input and output products.

Table 4: Corn stalks pellets for pyrolysis

Feature	UM	Corn stalks pellets	Biochar	Pyrolysis gas
<i>Relative masse</i>	real	1.00	0.237	0.763
Carbon	real	0.4053	0.7267	30.55
Oxygen	real	0.3905	0.489	49.66
Hydrogen	real	0.0540	0.0126	6.69
Ash	real	0.0502	0.2118	0
Humidity	real	0.10	0.00	13.11
L.H.V	MJ/kg	14.98	25.60	11.68
Carbon content	%	100	42.0	58.0
Energy content	%	100	40.50	59.50
CO2 footprint	kg.CO2/kWh	0.357	0.375	0.345
Carbon footprint	kg.C/kWh	0.097	0.102	0.094

Table 5: Corn stalks pellets for gasification

Feature	UM	Corn stalks pellets	Biochar	Gasified Biomass
<i>Relative masse</i>	real	1.00	0.157	0.843
Carbon	real	0.4053	0.6316	0.3632
Oxygen	real	0.3905	0.032	0.4573
Hydrogen	real	0.054	0.0167	0.0609
Ash	real	0.0502	0.3197	0
Humidity	real	0.100	0,00	0.1186
L.H.V	MJ/kg	14.98	20.20	14.01
Carbon content	%	100	21.17	78.83
Energy content	%	100	24	76
CO2 footprint	kg.CO2/kWh	0.357	0.413	0.342
Carbon footprint	kg.C/kWh	0.097	0.113	0.093

The model shown in figure 3 has a biochar output with **Cbch** carbon content and contains an **Ebch** energy.




Fig. 3. Model of biomass energy system with CHAB concept

For BIOMASS ENERGY CHAB SYSTEM energy balance is:

$$\Delta E_{bes} = E_{cs.inp} - E_{cs.out} = 0 \tag{23}$$

Input energy is:

$$E_{cs.inp} = E_{bre} + E_{cs.act} \tag{24}$$

$$E_{cs.inp} = K_{be} \cdot E_{br} + K_{act}(K_{be} \cdot E_{br}) = (1 + K_{act})(K_{be} \cdot E_{br}) \tag{25}$$

The relationship for the output energy is:

$$E_{cs.out} = E_{cs.ev} + (E_{sc.u} + E_{cs.c}) + E_{bch} = (1 - \eta_{cs})(E_{cs.out} - E_{bch}) + (E_{sc.u} + E_{cs.c}) + E_{bch} \tag{26}$$

Because at the carbon balance the carbon footprint for **Ecs.c + Ecs.u** is included in the exhaust outlet it results that:

$$\Delta C_{bes} = C_{bre} - (C_{sc.ev} + C_{bch}) = C_{bre} - (C_{sc.ev} + K_{bch} \cdot C_{bre}) = 0 \tag{27}$$

$$C_{sc.ev} = C_{bre}(1 - K_{bch}) \tag{28}$$

In this case for ATMOSPHERE carbon balance is:

$$\Delta C_{atm} = (C_{cons} + C_b - C_{bch}) - C_b = C_{cons} - C_{bch} \tag{29}$$

Carbon footprint in atmosphere is:

$$CF_{Patm} = \frac{C_{cons} - C_{bch}}{E_{cf} + E_{cs.c}} = \frac{C_f - K_{bch} \cdot C_{bre}}{E_{cf} + E_{cs.c}} = \frac{E_{cf} \cdot CFP_f - K_{bch} \cdot (K_{be} \cdot E_{br}) \cdot CFP_{bm}}{E_{cf} + E_{cs.c}} \tag{30}$$

Two situations are analyzed: $\mathbf{CFPatm} = 0$ or, for $\mathbf{Ecf} = 0$, is obtained $\mathbf{CFPatm} < 0$.

For condition $\mathbf{CFPatm} = 0$:

$$Ecf \cdot CFPf - Kbch \cdot (Kbe \cdot Ebr) \cdot CFPbm = 0 \quad (31)$$

If $\mathbf{Ecs.c} = 0$ of the balance results $\mathbf{Econs} = \mathbf{Ecf}$.

$$(Ebr / EFENs) \cdot CFPf - Kbe \cdot (Kbch \cdot CFPbm) \cdot Ebr = 0 \quad (32)$$

To meet this condition, you must:

$$Kbe = \frac{CFPf}{CFPbm} \cdot \frac{1}{Kbch \cdot EFENs} \quad (33)$$

For gasification results $\mathbf{Kbe}_g \geq 0.44$, and for pyrolysis $\mathbf{Kbe}_p \geq 0.23$. When using gasification, more energy is available for external applications.

If the system energy $\mathbf{Ecs.c} \approx \mathbf{Ebr} / \mathbf{EFENs}$ covers the energy requirement it results that $\mathbf{Ecf} = 0$ and the carbon footprint is negative:

$$CFPatm = - \frac{Kbch \cdot (Kbe \cdot Ebr) \cdot CFPbm}{(Ebr / EFENs)} = -Kbch \cdot Kbe \cdot CFPbm \cdot EFENs \quad (34)$$

For $\mathbf{Kbe} = 0.67$ with gasification $\mathbf{CFPatm}_g = -0.124$, and with pyrolysis $\mathbf{CFPatm}_p = -0.814$. Pyrolysis has to be noted the high negative value of the footprint, but there are a very little energy for the outside.

4. Conclusions

1. Original models for energy conversion systems of agricultural waste biomass were developed by burning, pyrolysis and gasification processes, for the determination of energy and carbon balance, as well as of the carbon footprint in the atmosphere. A power connection of vegetable production with energy generated by the system has been introduced to reduce positive carbon footprint.

2. The models were used to determine the regimes where the carbon footprint can be reduced to zero in the combustion process for different levels of useful energy needed for other applications.

3. The simulation was performed for residual biomass from corn with the highest total energy efficiency $EFEN = 17.63$ and the energy-producing biomass for $EFENs = 9.68$. An energy use factor $Kbe \in (0, 1)$ was used.

4. In the system with burning process if 50% of the harvested residual biomass is used, for a zero footprint, is obtained useful energy for other thermal applications of about 80% of the biomass used.

5. In system with gasification process for zero carbon footprint is necessary $Kbe_g \geq 0.44$, and for a $Kbe_g = 0.67$ is a negative footprint $CFPatm_g = -0.124$ kg.C / kWh, relatively small, less biochar is obtained but available more power for other applications.

6. In system with pyrolysis process for zero carbon footprint is necessary $Kbe_p \geq 0.44$, and for a $Kbe_p = 0.67$ is a negative footprint $CFPatm_p = -0.814$ kg.C / kWh, is a remarkable value due to the production of more biochar with a higher content of carbon.

7. Developed models are a useful tool for the design of energy conversion systems for biomass in general and especially for agricultural waste biomass. It is a very useful tool in the development of automated control systems, both as structure and optimal control algorithms.

8. Economic aspects will also be attached to become the most complete tool for developing biomass energy conversion systems.

References

- [1]. S. Christoph, "Biochar from agricultural and forestry residues – a complementary use of "waste" biomass", J. Levine (edit), "U.S.-Focused Biochar Report, Assessment of Biochar's Benefits for the United States of America", USBI and CEES, June, 2010, Colorado, USA;
- [2]. E. Murad, "CHAB din biomasă agricolă cu TLUD", *Sesiunea de comunicări științifice - ICEDIMPH-HORTING*, 28 noiembrie 2013;
- [3]. H. McLaughlin, "Combined Heat And Biochar: An alternate economic option for renewable biomass", *3rd Annual Conference of Heating the Northeast with Renewable Biomass*, 15 April 2011;
- [4]. E. Murad, C. Dumitrescu, F. Dragomir, M. Popescu, „CHAB concept in sustainable development of agriculture”, *Proceedings International Symposium ISB-INMA TEH 2016*, pp. 257-262, INMA Bucuresti, 2016, ISSN 2344 - 4118;
- [5]. H. P Schmidt, et al, "European Biochar Certificate - Guidelines for biochar production", 2012 Delinat Institute und Biochar Science Network, Version 4.2 of 13th June 2012;
- [6]. J.F. Peters, D. Iribarren, J. Dufour, "Biomass Pyrolysis for Biochar or Energy Application's A Life Cycle Assessment", *Envir. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, vol. 49(8) pp. 5195-5202;
- [7]. L. Răus , G. Jităreanu, "The energetic efficiency of different tillage system and fertilization levels", *Soil Minimum tillage systems, 6th International Symposium*, 27-29 June 2011 Cluj-Napoca, p. 32;
- [8]. E. Murad, "Conceptul CHAB în viticultură", *Sesiunea de comunicări Științifice ICDVV Valea Călugărescă*, 12 iunie 2014;
- [9]. E. Murad, F. Dragomir, "Heat generators with TLUD gasifier for generating energy from biomass with a negative balance of CO₂", *Proceedings of 2012 International Conference HERVEX*, 7-9 November 2012 Călimănești-Căciulata Romania;
- [10]. E. Murad, "Biomass cogeneration systems with steam cycle for convective dryers with energy independence and negative balance of CO₂", *CIEM – 2013*, UPB Bucharest, 7-8 November 2013;
- [11]. J. Whitfield, "Combined Heat and Biochar Production", Whitfield Biochar, USA, WA, Jan 2013;
- [12]. E. Murad, "Power production a negative carbon balance with CHAB concept", *International Conference HERVEX 2016*, Govora, 9-11 noiembrie 2016.